JS-6 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Sergey Grishin, an individual, CV 18-10179 DSF (AGRx) Plaintiff, **Judgment and Order of Dismissal** v. Jennifer Sulkess, an individual, and **Does 1–10**, Defendants.

1 In accordance with the Court's Order Granting Motion to Enforce Settlement 2 Agreement (Dkt. No. 89) and consistent with the terms of the parties' agreement, the Court enters final judgment in this action between Plaintiff Sergey Grishin and 3 4 Defendant Jennifer Sulkess. Pursuant to this Final Judgment, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT: 5 6 1. Plaintiff's claims for copyright infringement under Count 1, dismissed 7 without prejudice *sua sponte* by the Court on May 31, 2019, are severed 8 from the other causes of action, and may be re-filed at a later date (subject 9 to any applicable statutes of limitation) without regard to final resolution of Plaintiff's defamation claims under Counts 2 and 3; 10 2. Plaintiff's claim for public disclosure of private facts under Count 4 and 11 related injunctive relief under Count 5 are severed from the other causes of 12 13 action, dismissed without prejudice, and may be re-filed at a later date (subject to any applicable statutes of limitation) without regard to final 14 15 resolution of Plaintiff's defamation claims under Counts 2 and 3; 16 3. Consistent with the terms of the parties' agreement, the Court expressly 17 reserves Plaintiff Grishin's right to maintain his claims for copyright 18 infringement and public disclosure of public facts claims in later filed actions without the principles of res judicata applying to preclude such 19 20 actions; 21 4. Each party shall pay his or her own costs and attorneys' fees with respect to 22 the disposition of Plaintiff's claims under Counts 1, 4, and 5; 23 5. Judgment is entered against Plaintiff on his defamation claims under Counts 24 2 and 3 and Plaintiff shall take nothing by way of those claims; 6. In light of the parties' agreement to seek an immediate appeal of the 25 26 judgement against Plaintiff on his defamation claims, the Court finds that 27 there is no just reason to delay such an appeal, because the need for

28

appellate review will not be mooted by developments in the District Court;

1	and the issues to be decided in such an appeal are unique and unrelated to
2	the severed Counts 1, 4 and 5;
3	7. Consistent with the parties' agreement, Plaintiff is ordered to post a bond of
4	\$85,000 in conjunction with the appeal;
5	8. Without affecting the finality of the Court's judgment in any way, the Court
6	retains jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of resolving issues relating
7	to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and
8	enforcement of the parties' agreement.
9	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	DATED: April 21, 2020
12	Honorable Dale S. Fischer
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	